

A New Proof for Irrationality of π

R. Sivaraman, J. Suganthi, P.N. Vijayakumar

Abstract: Ever since Lambert proved that π is irrational in 18th century, lots of wonderful proofs have been provided by various mathematicians. To this day, π remains as one of the most significant and important real number among all real numbers. In this paper, we try to prove that π is irrational in a new and elementary way. In doing so, we have obtained new rational approximations for π .

Keywords: Irrational, Mediant, Closed Intervals, Rational **Approximations**

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1761, Johann Heinrich Lambert was the first to prove that π is irrational using continued fraction corresponding to tangent function. In later years, several proofs of the same were provided by eminent mathematicians like Charles Hermite, Mary Cartwright, Ivan Niven, Miklós Laczkovich. In 1882, Ferdinand Von Lindemann proved that π is not only irrational but it is transcendental as well. In 2006, American mathematician Jonathan Sondow (see [1]) proved that the base of natural logarithm e is irrational in most spectacular way using geometric construction. Getting inspired by that proof, in this paper, we will prove that π is irrational in most elegant and easiest possible way. For knowing more about properties of π see [2].

II. MEDIANT OF TWO NUMBERS

If $\frac{a}{b}$ and $\frac{c}{a}$ are two rational numbers then the number $\frac{a+c}{b+a}$ is defined as Mediant of $\frac{a}{b}$ and $\frac{c}{d}$. According to the definition, it is fairly straightforward to show that if $\frac{a}{b}$, $\frac{c}{d}$ are positive, then the Mediant always lies between $\frac{a}{b}$ and $\frac{c}{d}$. We shall now prove this formally.

A. Theorem 1

The mediant of two positive rational numbers always lies between them. That is, if $\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}$ are positive, and if $\frac{a}{b} < \frac{c}{d}$ then $\frac{a}{b} < \frac{a+c}{b+d} < \frac{c}{d}.$

Manuscript received on 24 March 2025 | First Revised Manuscript received on 29 March 2025 | Second Revised Manuscript received on 03 April 2025 | Manuscript Accepted on 15 April 2025 | Manuscript published on 30 April 2025. *Correspondence Author(s)

Dr. R. Sivaraman*, Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, Dwaraka Doss Goverdhan Doss Vaishnav College, Arumbakkam, Chennai (Tamil Nadu), India. Email ID: rsivaraman1729@yahoo.co.in, ORCID ID: 0000-0001-5989-4422

J. Suganthi, Assistant Professor and Head, Department of Mathematics, S.S.K.V. College of Arts and Science for Women, Kilambi, Kanchipuram (Tamil Nadu), India. Email ID: suganthi271076@gmail.com

P.N. Vijayakumar, B.T. Assistant in Mathematics, Gopalapuram Boys Higher Secondary School, Chennai (Tamil Nadu), India. Email ID: vijayakumarmailpn@gmail.com

© The Authors. Published by Lattice Science Publication (LSP). This is an open access article under the CC-BY-NC-ND license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijam.A119605010425

Journal Website: <u>www.ijam.latticescipub.com</u>

DOI: 10.54105/ijam.A1196.05010425

Proof: From $\frac{a}{b} < \frac{c}{a}$ we obtain bc - ad > 0. $\frac{a+c}{b+d} - \frac{a}{b} = \frac{bc-ad}{b(b+d)} > 0$ and $\frac{c}{d} - \frac{a+c}{b+d} = \frac{bc-ad}{b(b+d)} > 0$. Thus, $\frac{a}{b} < \frac{a+c}{b+d} = \frac{bc}{b(b+d)} > 0$. $\frac{a+c}{c} < \frac{c}{d}$

III. IRRATIONALITY OF π

In [3], American mathematician Tom Apostol provided a new proof for irrationality of $\sqrt{2}$. In this section, we will prove that π is irrational using the finest weapon that mathematicians use namely Proof by Contradiction method.

A. Theorem 2

The number π is irrational

Proof: If possible, let us assume that π be some rational number. First, we consider the true value of π (from known computations) to few decimal places given by π = 3.14159265358979 323846264338327950...

From above true value [3], we notice that π lies between two consecutive integers 3 and 4. So we will begin our computations from the interval [4]. In particular, let $I_0 =$ $[3,4] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{1}, \frac{4}{1} \end{bmatrix}$. The mediant of the numbers $\frac{3}{1}, \frac{4}{1}$ is $\frac{3+4}{1+1} = \frac{7}{2} =$ 3.5. By theorem 1, this mediant value must be such that 3 < 13.5 < 4. Now since $3 < \pi < 3.5$, we consider our next interval as $I_1 = [3,3.5] = \left[\frac{6}{2}, \frac{7}{2}\right]$. The mediant of $\frac{6}{2}, \frac{7}{2}$ is $\frac{6+7}{2+2} = \frac{13}{4} = 3.25$. Since $3 < \pi < 3.25$, we consider $I_2 = [3,3.25] = \left[\frac{12}{4}, \frac{13}{4}\right]$. Now the mediant of $\frac{12}{4}, \frac{13}{4}$ is $\frac{12+13}{4+4} = \frac{25}{8} = 3.125$. Since $3.125 < \pi < 3.25$, we consider $I_3 = [3.125, 3.25] = 125 =$ $\left[\frac{25}{8}, \frac{26}{8}\right]$. By our choice of closed intervals at each stage, we notice that $\pi \in I_n$ for n = 0, 1, 2, 3. We now proceed to determine closed intervals at next stages, such that π is always a number in those intervals.

always a number in those intervals. The mediant of $\frac{25}{8}, \frac{26}{8}$ is $\frac{51}{16} = 3.1875$. Since $\frac{25}{8} < \pi < \frac{51}{16}$ we choose $I_4 = \left[\frac{50}{16}, \frac{51}{16}\right]$. The mediant of $\frac{50}{16}, \frac{51}{16}$ is $\frac{101}{32} = 3.15625$. Since $\frac{25}{8} < \pi < \frac{101}{32}$ we choose $I_5 = \left[\frac{100}{32}, \frac{101}{32}\right]$. The mediant of $\frac{100}{32}, \frac{101}{32}$ is $\frac{201}{64} = 3.140625$. Since $\frac{201}{64} < \pi < \frac{101}{32}$ we choose $I_6 = \left[\frac{201}{64}, \frac{202}{64}\right]$. Proceeding in same fashion, we obtain sequence of closed intervals given by

intervals given by , r/02 /021

$$I_{7} = \left[\frac{402}{128}, \frac{403}{128}\right]; I_{8} = \left[\frac{804}{256}, \frac{805}{256}\right];$$
$$I_{9} = \left[\frac{1608}{512}, \frac{1609}{512}\right]; I_{10} = \left[\frac{3216}{1024}, \frac{3217}{1024}\right];$$

$$I_{11} = \left[\frac{0.133}{2048}, \frac{0.134}{2048}\right]$$

Published By: Lattice Science Publication (LSP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.







$$\begin{split} I_{12} &= \left[\frac{12867}{4096}, \frac{12868}{4096}\right]; \ I_{13} &= \left[\frac{25735}{8192}, \frac{25736}{8192}\right]; \\ I_{14} &= \left[\frac{51471}{16384}, \frac{51472}{16384}\right]; \ I_{15} &= \left[\frac{102943}{32768}, \frac{102944}{32768}\right]; \\ I_{16} &= \left[\frac{205887}{65536}, \frac{205888}{65536}\right]; \ I_{17} &= \left[\frac{411774}{131072}, \frac{411775}{131072}\right]; \\ I_{18} &= \left[\frac{823549}{262144}, \frac{823550}{262144}\right]; \ I_{19} &= \left[\frac{1647099}{524288}, \frac{1647100}{524288}\right]; \\ I_{20} &= \left[\frac{3294198}{1048576}, \frac{3294199}{1048576}\right]; \\ I_{21} &= \left[\frac{6588397}{2097152}, \frac{6588398}{2097152}\right]; \\ I_{22} &= \left[\frac{13176794}{4194304}, \frac{13176795}{4194304}\right], \\ I_{23} &= \left[\frac{26353589}{8388608}, \frac{26353590}{8388608}\right]; \end{split}$$

If we keep continuing this process as many times as possible, then we see that $\{\pi\} \in I_n$ for all n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ... Since we select each interval by the choice of mediant of numbers of previous intervals, by theorem 1, we find that $I_0 \supset I_1 \supset I_2 \supset I_3 \supset \cdots$. That is $I_n \supset I_{n+1}$ and $\{\pi\} \in$ $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n$, where each I_n is a closed interval of the form $I_n =$ $\left[\frac{k}{2^n}, \frac{k+1}{2^n}\right]$ for some natural number k. Also $|I_n| = \frac{1}{2^n} \to 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore by nested interval property of real numbers, $\{\pi\} \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{k}{2^n}, \frac{k+1}{2^n}\right]$, for some natural number k. Since k, k + 1 are consecutive natural numbers and $I_n \supset$ I_{n+1} is a non-increasing sequence of closed intervals such that $|I_n| = \frac{1}{2^n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, there would be no rational number between $\frac{k}{2^n}$ and $\frac{k+1}{2^n}$ whose denominator is 2^n . Thus if π is rational then $\{\pi\} \notin \left[\frac{k}{2^n}, \frac{k+1}{2^n}\right]$ for any natural number k, contradicting the fact that $\{\pi\} \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{k}{2^n}, \frac{k+1}{2^n}\right]$ by our construction. This contradiction proves that π is not rational and therefore π must be irrational.

IV. RATIONAL APPROXIMATIONS FOR π

In proving that π is irrational in theorem 2, we notice that we have obtained new rational approximations for π . Those new rational approximations were marked in red color in particular closed intervals in the above proof. Thus, the rational approximations to π for one, two, three, four, five, six, seven decimal places of accuracy to true value of π were 25 201 3217 given respectively by 8 ' 64 ' 1024' 51471 411775 1647099 26353589 If we continue with more 16384' 131072' 524288' 8388608 stages of computations [4], then we can obtain rational approximations to π with much more desired decimal places of accuracy. In [5], we see the most efficient rational approximations to π and e for desired decimal places of accuracy using continued fractions. In [6], the first author has used the Mediant property to obtain the rational approximations [7] for π and e [8].

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, using the concept of mediant of two numbers, we have proved that π is irrational in elementary way. In doing so, we have determined seven rational approximations to π for one to seven decimal places of accuracy. Among these, except the first, other six values were new approximations to π . These new rational approximations would pave way for alternate usage of approximating π . It is also interesting to note that ancient Babylonians used $\frac{25}{8}$ as approximation to which we have obtained here. Also, we note that the most familiar rational approximations namely $\frac{22}{7}, \frac{333}{106}, \frac{355}{113}$ do not appear in our list. These numbers can be obtained using continued fraction method for π . Nevertheless, the ideas presented in this paper would serve as template for proving irrationality of some specific real numbers.

DECLARATION STATEMENT

After aggregating input from all authors, I must verify the accuracy of the following information as the article's author.

- Conflicts of Interest/ Competing Interests: Based on my understanding, this article has no conflicts of interest.
- **Funding Support:** This article has not been funded by any organizations or agencies. This independence ensures that the research is conducted with objectivity and without any external influence.
- Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate: The content of this article does not necessitate ethical approval or consent to participate with supporting documentation.
- Data Access Statement and Material Availability: The adequate resources of this article are publicly accessible.
- Authors Contributions: The authorship of this article is contributed equally to all participating individuals.

REFERENCES

- Sondow, J. (2006). A Geometric Proof That e Is Irrational and a New Measure of Its Irrationality. The American Mathematical Monthly, 113(7), 637–641. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.0704.1282</u>
- J. Borwein and P. Borwein, *Pi and the AGM:* A Study in Analytic Number Theory and Computational Complexity, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1987. <u>https://www.wiley.com/enus/Pi+and+the+AGM%3A+A+Study+in+Analytic+Number+Theory +and+Computational+Complexity-p-9780471315155</u>
- T. Apostol, Irrationality of the square root of two a geometric proof, Amer. Math. Monthly 107 (2000) 841-842. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2307/2695741
- R. Jayamari, R. Sivaraman, Continued Fraction Expansion for π and *e*, Proceedings of International Conference on Advanced Research in Mathematics and its Industrial Applications (ICARMIA), 2025, February, pp. 67 77. Paper Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/ publication/389215709_Continued_Fraction_Expansion_for_Pi_and
- R. Sivaraman, Thriving Towards Transcendental Numbers, International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, Volume 29, No. 8s, (2020), pp. 2126 – 2131.

Retrieval Number:100.1/ijam.A119605010425 DOI: <u>10.54105/ijam.A1196.05010425</u> Journal Website: <u>www.ijam.latticescipub.com</u>

33

Published By: Lattice Science Publication (LSP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.





http://sersc.org/journals/index.php/IJAST/article/view/13044

- Merlin, S., & Kumar, Dr. B. V. S. (2019). Approximations and 6. Applications of a Reciprocal Fifth Power Mapping. In International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology (Vol. 9, Issue 1s4, pp. 983-986). DOI: https://doi.org/10.35940/ijeat.a1210.1291s419
- 7. Laskar, D., Sarmah, N. J., & Mahanta, A. K. (2019). SCI-Tree: An Incremental Algorithm for Computing Support Counts of all Closed Intervals from an Interval Dataset. In International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (Vol. 8, Issue 10, pp. 233-242). DOI: https://doi.org/10.35940/ijitee.i8009.0881019
- 8 Hazarika, I. (2019). A New Semimetric for Interval Data. In International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE) (Vol. 8, Issue 3, pp. 3278-3285). DOI: https://doi.org/10.35940/ijrte.c5422.098319

AUTHOR'S PROFILE



Dr. R. Sivaraman, working as Associate Professor at Dwaraka Doss Goverdhan Doss Vaishnav College, Chennai has more than 25 years of teaching experience at College level. He has been conferred with National Award for Popularizing mathematics among masses in 2016 by Department of Science and

Technology, Government of India. He was conferred with Indian National Science Academy (INSA) Teaching award for the year 2018. He has also received State Government Best Science Book Awards in 2011 and 2012. He has provided more than 500 lectures conveying the beauty, applications of Mathematics. He has published more than 300 research papers and had done his Post Doctoral Research Fellowship and Doctor of Science Degree. He has written 42 books in view of popularizing mathematics among common man. He was a member of the Textbook Writing Committee, Tamil Nadu School Education Department for preparing revised mathematics textbook for eleventh class and chairperson for tenth class. He has won more than 75 prestigious awards for his distinguished service to mathematics. He has been taking free classes for college students from very poor background for many years. Propagating the beauty and applications of mathematics to everyone was his life mission.



J. Suganthi is an Assistant Professor and Head i/c, Department of Mathematics at S.S.K.V. College of Arts and Science for Women, Kilambi, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu, India. She is currently pursuing her doctoral programme in mathematics. She has more than 15 years of teaching experience at college level. Her research

interests are in Number Theory, Graph Theory and its applications. She is a member of Pie Mathematics Association. She has published more than 10 papers including one Scopus Indexed paper in various national and international peer reviewed journals. Her passion is teaching and enriching knowledge of students who wish to pursue mathematics in their career. She has presented various lectures and demonstrations towards propagation of mathematics.



P.N. Vijayakumar is a mathematics B.T. Teacher at Gopalapuram Boys Higher Secondary School, Gopalapuram, Chennai, India. He has 15 years of teaching experience at school level and has been teaching mathematics for high school and higher secondary school students. He is also one of the Trustees of Pie Mathematics Association, an exclusive NGO for

propagating mathematics in India. He has written about ten research papers including one Scopus indexed and is currently pursuing his doctoral programme in mathematics. He is very actively involved for several years in propagating mathematical activities throughout India through Pie Mathematics Association. He has made several working models in mathematics and has demonstrated them at various schools and colleges to students thereby making mathematics easily understand the underlying concepts. Helping for the cause of mathematics in all possible means is his life ambition

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the Lattice Science Publication (LSP)/ journal and/ or the editor(s). The Lattice Science Publication (LSP)/ journal and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijam.A119605010425 DOI: 10.54105/ijam.A1196.05010425 Journal Website: www.ijam.latticescipub.com

Published By: Lattice Science Publication (LSP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.

